

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,924	09/25/2000	Eric Raspe	MERCK 2157	2859	
23599	7590 02/19/2003				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER		
			CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	1	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	'\	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/646,924		RASPE ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Suryaprabha Chunduru		1637	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

⊏xaıııı	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFK 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [b) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the standard part of the fee appropriate extension of the final office action; or the fee appropriate extension of the final office action; or the fee appropriate extension of the final rejection, even if the fee appropriate extension and the period of the final rejection, even if the fee appropriate extension of the final rejection, even if the fee appropriate extension and the period of the final rejection, even if the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are standard from the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are standard from the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are standard from the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are standard from the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are standard from the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension and the fee appropriate extensi
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) \boxtimes affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the newly amended claims and applicants' arguments are fully considered and the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is moot. Applicants' arguments and amendment with reference to rejection under 35 UCSC 112 first paragraph, are fully considered and found persuasive in part. Inclusion of the new limitation "ROR alpha" is found persuasive. However, the phrase "response elements thereof" does not narrow of the scope of the claims, because the instant specification broadly describes a response element with no structural limitation and the phrase response elements thereof includes several reponse elements which are not disclosed in the instant specification. Therefore, the rejection under USC 112, first paragraph is maintained herein.